

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,510	01/30/2006	Antonius Adriaan Maria Staring	NL303963	7328
24737 7590 06/19/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2131	
	,	·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,510	STARING ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christian La Forgia	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	anuary 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2006 is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 have been presented for examination.

Priority

- 2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority. *Drawings*
- 3. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As per claim 13, merely claimed as a computer program representing a computer listing *per se*, that is, descriptions or expressions of such a program and that is, descriptive material *per se*, non-functional descriptive material, and is not statutory

Art Unit: 2131

because it is not a physical "thing" nor a statutory process, as there are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the program itself is not a process, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the medium which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760. In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d 1330, 1333, 200 USPQ 132, 137 (CCPA 1978). See MPEP § 2106(IV)(B)(1)(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0091186 to Fontijn et al., hereinafter Fontijn.
- 9. As per claim 1, Fontijn teaches a record carrier (Figures 1, 4, [block 4]) for storing user data in sectors (paragraph 0003) and management information associated with said sectors (paragraph 0022, i.e. initialization vector stored in each header or sub-header of each block/sector), wherein said management information comprises an encryption indication

Art Unit: 2131

information indicating that the user data stored in the associated sector are to be encrypted by a read-out device before being transmitted over a communication bus (paragraphs 0022, 0024, i.e. initialization vector can be used to contain encryption control information, re-encryption key data information is included in a read command).

- 10. Regarding claim 2, Fontijn teaches wherein said management information is stored in a sector header or in an additional sub-code channel (paragraph 0022, i.e. initialization vector stored in each header or sub-header of each block/sector).
- Regarding claim 3, Fontijn teaches wherein said management information further comprises an encryption amount information indicating which part or parts of the user data stored in the associated sector are to be encrypted (paragraphs 0022, 0024, i.e. initialization vector can be used to contain encryption control information).
- 12. Regarding claim 6, Fontijn teaches wherein said management information further comprises a decryption indication information indicating that the user data stored in the associated sector are to be decrypted by the read-out device before being encrypted again for transmission over said communication bus (paragraph 0048, i.e. data is decrypted and than reencrypted).
- 13. With regards to claim 7, Fontijn teaches wherein a decryption key for decryption of the user data is dependent on at least the encryption indication information (paragraphs 0039, 0040).

Art Unit: 2131

14. As per claims 8 and 9, Fontijn teaches a read-out device and method for reading data from a record carrier (Figures 1, 4, [block 4]) storing user data in sectors (paragraph 0003) and management information associated with said sectors (paragraph 0022, i.e. initialization vector stored in each header or sub-header of each block/sector), wherein said management information comprises an encryption indication information indicating that the user data stored in the associated sector are to be encrypted by a read-out device before being transmitted over a communication bus (paragraphs 0022, 0024, i.e. initialization vector can be used to contain encryption control information, re-encryption key data information is included in a read command), comprising:

a reading unit for reading said user data and said management information from said record carrier (Figures 1 and 4 [block 5], paragraphs 0036, 0037),

a data interpreter for interpreting said management information (paragraphs 0039, 0040, i.e. determining if the data is encrypted or not, determining a decryption key corresponds to the encryption key),

an encryption unit for encrypting user data of sectors for which the associated encryption indication information indicates that said user data are to be encrypted (Figure 4 [block 10], paragraphs 0022, 0048), and

an output unit for outputting said user data (Figure 4 [block 26], paragraph 0048).

15. As per claims 10 and 11, Fontijn teaches a recording device and method for recording data on a record carrier comprising:

Art Unit: 2131

an input unit for receiving user data and a command to record said user data in sectors on a record carrier from a communication bus (Figure 5 [block 34], paragraphs 0014, 0051),

a command interpreter for interpreting said command so as to identify a decryption indication information included therein indicating which parts of the received user data are encrypted and are to be decrypted before recording on said record carrier (Figure 5 [block 34], paragraphs 0014, 0051),

a decryption unit for decrypting the parts of said user data for which the associated decryption indication information indicates that they are encrypted and are to be decrypted before recording on said record carrier (Figures 1 and 4 [block 8], paragraphs 0040, 0048), and

a write unit for recording said user data in sectors on said record carrier and a management information associated with said sectors (Figure 5 [block 34], paragraphs 0014, 0051) comprising an encryption indication information indicating that user data stored in sectors associated with said management information are to be encrypted by a read-out device before transmission over a communication bus (Figure 4 [block 10], paragraphs 0022, 0048),).

- 16. Regarding claim 12, Fontijn teaches an encryption indication information and that a decryption key for decryption of the user data is dependent on said encryption indication information (paragraphs 0039, 0040).
- 17. Regarding claim 13, Fontijn teaches a computer program comprising program code means for causing a computer to carry out the steps of the methods as claimed in claim 9 when said computer program is executed on a computer (paragraph 0017).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,510 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fontijn in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,378,072 to Collins et al., hereinafter Collins.
- 20. Regarding claim 4, Fontijn does not teach an encryption algorithm information indicating which encryption algorithm is to be used for encryption.
- 21. Collins discloses using a plurality of encryption algorithms to secure a communications bus (column 6, lines 5-27).
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an encryption algorithm information indicating which encryption algorithm is to be used for encryption, since it would have provided a multitude of methods to secure the communication bus against unwanted access during transmission (Fontijn, paragraph 0018).
- 23. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fontijn in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0159037 to Taki et al., hereinafter Taki.
- 24. Regarding claim 5, Fontijn does not teach a key-hierarchy information indicating which key-hierarchy is to be used for determination of an encryption key to be used for encryption.
- 25. Taki teaches a key-hierarchy information indicating which key-hierarchy is to be used for determination of a content key (Figures 4, 8, 23, paragraph 0001).

Art Unit: 2131

26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a key-hierarchy information indicating which key-hierarchy is to be used for determination of an encryption key to be used for encryption, since Taki states at paragraph 0001 that a key hierarchy is used for digital rights management and to ensure authorized use of the content.

Conclusion

- 27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 28. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to bus encryption, such as:

United States Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0091187 A1 to Fontijn et al., which is cited to show a co-pending application to the one used to reject the independent claims.

United States Patent No. 7,111,169 B2 to Ripley et al., which is cited to show encrypting data on a peripheral bus that was read from a storage medium, such as a DVD or CD.

United States Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0003880 A1 to Kato et al., which is cited to show protecting against the unauthorized copying of multimedia data.

United States Patent No. 6,301,663 B1 to Kato et al., which is cited to show protecting against the unauthorized copying of multimedia data.

United States Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0190424 A1 to Kawamae et al., which is cited to show encrypting data on a PCI bus from a drive to a decoder when reproducing/recording data.

Art Unit: 2131

United States Patent No. 7,116,893 B2 to Kawamae et al., which is cited to show encrypting data on a PCI bus from a drive to a decoder when reproducing/recording data.

United States Patent No. 6,778,757 to Kawamae et al., which is cited to show encrypting data on a PCI bus from a drive to a decoder when reproducing/recording data.

United States Patent No. 6,578,149 to Kawamae et al., which is cited to show a typical sector format in Figure 4.

United States Patent No. 6,438,692 to Kato et al., which is cited to show protecting against the unauthorized copying of multimedia data.

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.
- 30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 31. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2131

Christian LaForgia
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2131

clf